

See “IBD2020 global forum: results of an international patient survey on quality of care” on page 537-545.

Supplementary Table 3. Existing and Preferences for Access to Specialists for Male and Female Respondents

Specialist	Existing access		No need for access (if no existing access)		P-value ^a
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
IBD specialist nurse	32.6	32.9	52.9	49.0	0.046
Dietician	21.0	20.8	44.3	40.3	0.035
Psychologist	11.9	13.6	61.4	56.6	<0.001
Colorectal surgeon	29.7	26.2	58.1	63.5	<0.001
Rheumatologist	11.6	15.9	68.9	62.4	<0.001
Dermatologist	14.8	16.8	65.9	62.4	0.006
Counsellor	6.1	6.1	67.7	63.8	0.011
Social worker	5.0	4.7	79.5	81.0	0.177 (NS)

Values are presented as percentage.

^aMann-Whitney *U*-test for comparison between male/female.

Supplementary Table 4. Factors Significantly Associated with Perceived Excellent or Very Good Quality of Care for Male and Female Respondents

Variable	Male		Female	
	OR	P-value	OR	P-value
Quality of specialist communication: excellent	97.6	<0.001	210.6	<0.001
Quality of specialist communication: very good	20.2	<0.001	80.2	<0.001
Quality of specialist communication: good	3.4	0.068 (NS)	11.9	0.001
Whether the review consultation was long enough: yes	2.3	<0.001	1.7	0.002
Failure to share information in the past 2 years: no	1.5	0.047	1.7	<0.001
Failure to share information in the past 2 years: yes	0.6	0.049	0.8	0.252 (NS)
No access to a dietician	0.5	0.004	0.8	0.100 (NS)
Speed of advice in case of flare: same day	1.2	0.609 (NS)	1.9	0.005